

UNITED STAT DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

DATE MAILED:

Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. 09/456,151 12/07/99 SATO K 9281-3489 **EXAMINER** 000757 WM01/0703 BRINKS HOFER GILSON & LIONE RENNER, C ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER P.O. BOX 10395 CHICAGO IL 60610 0 2652

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

07/03/01



Office Action Summary

Application No. **09/456,151**

Appn_nt(s

Sato et al.

Examiner

Craig A. Renner

Art Unit 2652



-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 1) X Responsive to communication(s) filed on 7 Dec 1999 2b) \(\overline{X} \) This action is non-final. 2a) This action is FINAL. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quawo35 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213. **Disposition of Claims** is/are pending in the applica 4) X Claim(s) 1-17 4a) Of the above, claim(s) ______ is/are withdrawn from considers is/are allowed. 5) X Claim(s) 1-6 and 8-12 is/are rejected. 6) X Claim(s) 7 and 13-17 is/are objected to. 7) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirem 8) 🔲 Claims ____ **Application Papers** 9) X The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on ______ is/are objected to by the Examiner. 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on ______ is: a) approved b) disapproved. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 13) X Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d). a) X All b) Some* c) None of: 1. X Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e). Attachment(s) 15) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 18) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). 19) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 16) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 20) Other: 17) X Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).

Application/Control Number: 09/456,151 Page 2

Art Unit: 2652

Priority

1. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Specification

- 2. The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.
- The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities:

 In line 2 in each of claims 1 and 9, "later" should be changed to --layer-- for better clarity.

Appropriate correction is required.

4. The lengthy specification has not been checked to the extent necessary to determine the presence of all possible minor errors. Applicant's cooperation is requested in correcting any errors of which applicant may become aware in the specification.

Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. § 112

5. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. § 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Art Unit: 2652

6. Claims 7 and 13-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

- a. In line 3 of claim 7, the phrase "such as" renders the claim indefinite because it is indefinite as to whether the limitations following the phrase are part of the claimed invention. See MPEP § 2173.05(d).
- b. In line 10 of claim 13 and line 6 of claim 16, each instance of "the ABS side" is indefinite because it lacks clear and/or positive antecedent basis.
- c. Claims 14-15 and 17 inherit the indefiniteness associated with their respective base claims and stand rejected as well.

Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. § 103

7. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 C.F.R. § 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. § 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. § 102(f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a).

Pertinent Prior Art

8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. This includes Watanuki (US 5,913,550), which teaches a processing monitor element

Application/Control Number: 09/456,151 Page 4

Art Unit: 2652

sharing shield and gap layers of a magnetoresistive head; and Taniyama et al. (US 5,701,221),

which teaches a magnetoresistive head with an insulation layer formed below electrode layers

thereof but not below multilayer films thereof.

Allowable Subject Matter

9. Claims 1-6 and 8-12 are allowable. Claims 7 and 13-17 would be allowable if rewritten or

amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, set forth in this

Office action.

Conclusion

10. Any inquiry concerning the above referenced application should be directed to the

examiner, Craig A. Renner, whose telephone number is (703) 308-0559, and whose facsimile

number is (703) 872-9314. The examiner can normally be reached Tuesday through Friday from

7:30 a.m. to 6:00 p.m. E.S.T.

Craig A. Renner

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 2652

CAR July 02, 2001